#### DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

# RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

### **Current Human Exposures Under Control**

Facility Name: Abex Friction Products (Wagner Electric Co.)

Facility Address: Winchester, Virginia VAD 003 070 976

l.	Has <b>all</b> available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been <b>considered</b> in this EI determination?						
	_X If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.						
	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or						
	if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.						

#### **BACKGROUND**

### **Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)**

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

### **Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI**

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

# **Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

## **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

Groundwater	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Air (indoors) <sup>2</sup>		_X_ _X_		
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)				Chromiun
Surface Water		_x_		
Sediment		_x_		
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)	_X_			Chromiun
Air (outdoors)		_X_		
that these that the the that the the the that the the the the the the the the the th	riate "le se "leve for any ininated" nation t	vels," and els" are no media) - o medium	d reference of exceed continue a, citing a nedium co	cing sufficient supporting documentation demon led.  after identifying key contaminants in each appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for
that thes x If yes (f  "contant determine supportions"	riate "le se "leve for any ininated" nation to ing doc	vels," and els" are no media) - o ' medium hat the m umentatio	d reference of exceed continue a, citing a nedium coon.	cing sufficient supporting documentation demon led.  after identifying key contaminants in each appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for
that thes x If yes (f  "contandetermic supportion  If unknown	iate "le se "leve" for any ininated" nation to ing document (for the country for the country f	vels," and els" are no media) - o medium hat the m umentation	d reference of exceed continue a, citing a nedium co on.	cing sufficient supporting documentation demon led.  after identifying key contaminants in each appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation fould pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing
that thes x If yes (f  "contandetermic supportion  If unknown	iate "le se "leve" for any ininated" nation to ing document (for the country for the country f	vels," and els" are no media) - o medium hat the m umentation	d reference of exceed continue a, citing a nedium co on.	cing sufficient supporting documentation demon led.  after identifying key contaminants in each appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for buld pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing p to #6 and enter "IN" status code.
that thes x If yes (f  "contandetermic supportion  If unknown	iate "le se "leve" for any ininated" nation to ing document (for the country for the country f	vels," and els" are no media) - o medium hat the m umentation	d reference of exceed continue a, citing a nedium co on.	cing sufficient supporting documentation demon led.  after identifying key contaminants in each appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for buld pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing p to #6 and enter "IN" status code.
that thes x If yes (f  "contandetermic supportion  If unknown	iate "le se "leve" for any ininated" nation to ing document (for the country for the country f	vels," and els" are no media) - o medium hat the m umentation	d reference of exceed continue a, citing a nedium co on.	cing sufficient supporting documentation demonsted.  after identifying key contaminants in each appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for bould pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing provide and enter "IN" status code.
that thes x If yes (f  "contandetermic supportion  If unknown	riate "le ve se "leve or any ininated" nation ting document (for section 1).	vels," and media) - of media) - of medium hat the mumentation rany medium	d reference of exceed continue a, citing a nedium coon.	after identifying key contaminants in each appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for bould pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing p to #6 and enter "IN" status code.
that thes x If yes (f     "contan     determi     supporti	riate "le ve se "leve or any ininated" nation ting document (for section 1).	vels," and media) - of media) - of medium hat the mumentation rany medium	d reference of exceed continue a, citing a nedium coon.	cing sufficient supporting documentation demonsted.  after identifying key contaminants in each appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for bould pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing p to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

#### Footnotes:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 3

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

#### Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions)

<b>"Contaminated" N</b> Groundwater	<u>∕Iedia</u> F	Residents	Workers I	Day-Care (	Construction T	respassers	Recreation	Food <sup>3</sup>
Air (indoors)								
Soil (surface, e.g.,	<2 ft)	No	No		No	No		
Surface Water								
Sediment								
Soil (subsurface e.g	g., > 2  ft				<u>No</u>			
Air (outdoors)								
		_			_			
Instructions for <u>Sur</u>	nmary Ex	posure Pa	thway Eval	uation Tab	<u>le</u> :			
1 0 1			. 1 1. 11	. D				
					ptors' spaces f	for Media w	nich are no	ot
contamin	ated") as 1	identified	in #2 above	e.				
2 anton "	was" or "n	o" for not	antial "aam	nlatanaga"	under each "C	ontominated	l" Madia	Llumon
Receptor of		-		ipietelless	under each C	Omammateo	i Media -	- Mulliali
Receptor	omomano	on (Faulw	ay).					
Note: In order to fo	ocus the ex	zaluation t	to the most	nrobable co	ombinations so	me notentia	1 "Contam	inated"
Media - Human Re								
combinations may i								
added as necessary.	-	04010 111 1	11000 0100001	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	.y ce possicie i	501110 5000		10010
,								
X If	f no (pathy	ways are i	not complet	e for any co	ontaminated m	edia-recepto	r combina	ition) -
sl	kip to #6,	and enter	"YE" statu	s code, afte	er explaining a	nd/or referen	ncing cond	lition(s)
ir	ı-place, w	hether na	tural or mar	n-made, pre	venting a com	plete exposu	ire pathwa	y from
e	ach contai	minated n	nedium (e.g	., use optio	nal <u>Pathway E</u>	valuation W	ork Sheet	to analyze
m	najor path	ways).						
	• 1	•	-	•	ntaminated" M		in Recepto	r
C	ombinatio	n) - conti	nue after pr	oviding sup	pporting explai	nation.		
T.	C1	(C	"	.4. 122 N.C. 12	. II D		•	1: 4. 46
		•		ated" Medi	a - Human Rec	ceptor comb	ination) - s	skip to #6
a	nd enter "	m status	s code					

Rationale and Reference(s): Abex installed an asphaltic concrete cap over the contaminated soil to eliminate the potential for direct contact with the soil, limit the potential infiltration into the drum storage area, and promote stormwater runoff. This remedial measure prevents ingestion or direct contact of the contaminated soil by workers and isolates in-situ soils from wind and rain, therefore the potential for dust generation and run-off of contaminated

soils is minimized. A notation to inform any future purchaser that contaminated soil remains in place under the cap was placed in the deed for the plant property. A survey plat and record of the location and quantity of contaminated soil have been filed with the Department of Planning and Zoning. In addition the use of the area is restricted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Page 4

4.	Can the <b>exposures</b> from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be " <b>significant</b> " (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?					
	If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."					
	If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."					
	If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  Rationale and Reference(s):					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 5

Can the	"significa	ant" <b>exposures</b> (identified in #4) be shown to be within <b>acceptable</b> limits?
		If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
		If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")-continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
		If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code

Page 6

6.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):							
	<u>X</u>	YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the Abex Friction Products (Wagner Electric Co.) facility, EPA ID # VAD 003 070 976, located in Winchester, VA under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.						
		NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."						
		IN - More information is needed to make a det	ermination.					
	Completed by	(signature) (print) Wanda Martinez (title) Remedial Project Manager	Date <u>01-30-02</u>					
	Supervisor	(signature) (print) Robert E. Greaves (title) Chief, General Operations Branch (EPA Region or State) EPA Region 3	Date <u>01-31-02</u>					
	Locations where References may be found:							
	EPA Region III							
	Philadelphia, PA							
	Contact telephone and e-mail numbers							
	(name) Wanda Martinez							

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.

(phone #) 215-814-3434

(e-mail) martinez.wanda@epa.gov